arXiv:1403.4701v1 [math.CO] 19 Mar 2014

Betweenness Centrality in Some Classes of Graphs

Sunil Kumar R*
Research Scholar
Department of Computer Applications
Cochin University of Science and Technology
e-mail:sunilstands@gmail.com

Kannan Balakrishnan
Associate Professor
Department of Computer Applications
Cochin University of Science and Technology
e-mail:mullayilkannan@gmail.com

M. Jathavedan
Professor Emeritus
Department of Computer Applications
Cochin University of Science and Technology
e-mail:mjvedan@gmail.com

Abstract

There are several centrality measures that have been introduced and studied for real world networks. They account for the different vertex characteristics that permit them to be ranked in order of importance in the network. Betweenness centrality is a measure of the influence of a vertex over the flow of information between every pair of vertices under the assumption that information primarily flows over the shortest path between them. In this paper we present betweenness centrality of some important classes of graphs.

Keywords: betweenness centrality measures, perfect matching, dual graph, interval regular, branch of a tree, antipodal vertices, tearing.

1 Introduction

Betweenness centrality plays an important role in analysis of social networks [21, 22], computer networks [16] and many other types of network data models [7, 11, 15, 17, 19, 23].

In the case of communication networks the distance from other units is not the only important property of a unit. More important is which units lie on the shortest paths (geodesics) among pairs of other units. Such units have control over the flow of information in the network. Betweenness centrality is useful as a measure of the potential of a vertex for control of communication. Betweennes centrality [3, 4, 5, 8, 12] indicates the betweenness of a vertex in a network and it measures the extent to which a vertex lies on the shortest paths between pairs of other vertices. In many real-world situations it has quite a significant role.

Determining betweenness is simple and straightforward when only one geodesic connects each pair of vertices, where the intermediate vertices can completely control communication between pairs of others. But when there are several geodesics connecting a pair of vertices, the situation becomes more complicated and the control of the intermediate vertices get fractionated.

*Under the Faculty Development Program of the University Grants Commission, Government of India.

2 Background

The concept of betweenness centrality was first introduced by Bavelas in 1948 [1]. The importance of the concept of vertex centrality is in the potential of a vertex for control of information flow in the network. Positions are viewed as structurally central to the degree that they stand between others and can therefore facilitate, impede or bias the transmission of messages. Linton C. Freeman in his papers [10, 11] classified betweenness centrality into three. The three measures includes two indexes of vertex centrality - one based on counts and one on proportions - and one index of overall network or graph centralization.

2.1 Betweenness Centrality of a Vertex

Betweenness centrality $C_B(v)$ for a vertex v is defined as

$$C_B(v) = \sum_{s \neq v \neq t} \frac{\sigma_{st}(v)}{\sigma_{st}}$$

where σ_{st} is the number of shortest paths with vertices s and t as their end vertices, while $\sigma_{st}(v)$ is the number of those shortest paths that include vertex v [10].High centrality scores indicate that a vertex lies on a considerable fraction of shortest paths connecting pairs of vertices.

- Every pair of vertices in a connected graph provides a value lying in [0,1] to the betweenness centrality of all other vertices.
- If there is only one geodesic joining a particular pair of vertices, then that pair provides a betweenness centrality 1 to each of its intermediate vertices and zero to all other vertices. For example in a path graph, a pair of vertices provides a betweenness centrality 1 to each of its interior vertices and zero to the exterior vertices. A pair of adjacent vertices always provides zero to all others.
- If there are k geodesics of length 2 joining a pair of vertices, then that pair of vertices provides a betweenness centrality $\frac{1}{k}$ to each of the intermediate vertices.

Freeman [10] proved that the maximum value taken by $C_B(v)$ is achieved only by the central vertex in a star as the central vertex lies on the geodesic (which is unique) joining every pair of other vertices. In a star S_n with n vertices, the betweenness centrality of the central vertex is therefore the number of such geodesics which is $\binom{n-1}{2}$. The betweenness centrality of each pendant vertex is zero since no pendant vertex lies in between any geodesic. Again it can be seen that the betweenness centrality of any vertex in a complete graph K_n is zero since no vertex lies in between any geodesic as every geodesic is of length 1.

2.2 Relative Betweenness Centrality

The betweenness centrality increases with the number of vertices in the network, so a normalized version is often considered with the centrality values scaled to between 0 and 1. Betweenness centrality can be normalized by dividing $C_B(v)$ by its maximum value. Among all graphs of n

vertices the central vertex of a star graph S_n has the maximum value which is $\binom{n-1}{2}$. The relative betweenness centrality is therefore defined as

$$C_B{}'(v) = \frac{C_B(v)}{Max\, C_B(v)} = \frac{2C_B(v)}{(n-1)(n-2)} \qquad 0 \leq C_B{}'(v) \leq 1$$

2.3 Betweenness Centrality of a Graph

The betweenness centrality of a graph measures the tendency of a single vertex to be more central than all other vertices in the graph. It is based on differences between the centrality of the most central vertex and that of all others. Freeman [10] defined the betweenness centrality of a graph as the average difference between the measures of centrality of the most central vertex and that of all other vertices.

The betweenness centrality of a graph G is defined as

$$C_B(G) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[C_B(v^*) - C_B(v_i)]}{Max \sum_{i=1}^{n}[C_B(v^*) - C_B(v_i)])}$$

where $C_B(v^*)$ is the largest value of $C_B(v_i)$ for any vertex v_i in the given graph G and
Max $\sum_{i=1}^{n}[C_B(v^*) - C_B(v_i)]$ is the maximum possible sum of differences in centrality for any graph of n vertices which occur in star with the value $n-1$ times $C_B(v)$ of the central vertex. That is, $(n-1)\binom{n-1}{2}$.

Therefore the betweenness centrality of G is defined as

$$C_B(G) = \frac{2\sum_{i=1}^{n}[C_B(v^*) - C_B(v_i)]}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \quad or \quad C_B(G) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[C_B{}'(v^*) - C_B{}'(v_i)]}{(n-1)}$$

The index, $C_B(G)$, determines the degree to which $C_B(v^*)$ exceeds the centrality of all other vertices in G. Since $C_B(G)$ is the ratio of an observed sum of differences to its maximum value, it will vary between 0 and 1. $C_B(G) = 0$ if and only if all $C_B(v_i)$ are equal, and $C_B(G) = 1$ if and only if one vertex $v*$, completely dominates the network with respect to centrality. Freeman showed that all of these measures agree in assigning the highest centrality index to the star graph and the lowest to the complete graph.

G	$C_B(v)$	$C_B{}'(v)$	$C_B(G)$
S_n	$\begin{cases} \binom{n-1}{2} & \text{for central vertex} \\ 0 & \text{for other vertices} \end{cases}$	$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{for central vertex} \\ 0 & \text{for other vertices} \end{cases}$	1
K_n	0	0	0

Table 1: Graphs showing extreme betweenness

In this paper we present the betweenness centrality measures in some important classes of graphs.

3 Betweenness centrality of some classes of graphs

3.1 Betweenness centrality of vertices in wheels

Theorem 3.1. *The betweenness centrality of a vertex v in a wheel graph $W_n,\ n > 5$ is given by*

$$C_B(v) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(n-1)(n-5)}{2} & \text{if } v \text{ is the central vertex} \\ \dfrac{1}{2} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. In the wheel graph W_n the central vertex is adjacent to each vertex of the cycle C_{n-1}. When $n > 5$ consider the central vertex. On C_{n-1} each pair of adjacent vertices contributes 0, each pair of alternate vertices contributes $\frac{1}{2}$ and all other pairs contribute centrality 1 to the central vertex. Since there are $n-1$ vertices on C_{n-1}, there exists $n-1$ adjacent pairs, $n-1$ alternate pairs and $\binom{n-1}{2} - 2(n-1) = \frac{(n-1)(n-6)}{2}$ other pairs. Therefore the central vertex has betweenness centrality $\frac{1}{2}(n-1) + 1\frac{(n-1)(n-6)}{2} = \frac{(n-1)(n-5)}{2}$. Now for any vertex on C_{n-1}, there are two geodesics joining its adjacent vertices on C_{n-1}, one of which passing through it. Therefore its betweenness centrality is $\frac{1}{2}$. □

Note
It can be seen easily that $C_B(v) = 0$ for every vertex v in W_4 and

$$C_B(v) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{3} & \text{if } v \text{ is the central vertex} \\ \dfrac{1}{3} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

in W_5.

The relative centrality and graph centrality are as follows

$$C_B{}'(v) = \frac{2C_B(v)}{(n-1)(n-2)} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(n-5)}{n-2} & \text{if } v \text{ is the central vertex} \\ \dfrac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$C_B(W_n) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[C_B{}'(v^*) - C_B{}'(v_i)]}{(n-1)} = \frac{n^2 - 6n + 4}{(n-1)(n-2)}$$

3.2 Betweenness centrality of vertices in the graph $\mathbf{K_n - e}$

Theorem 3.2. *Let K_n be a complete graph on n vertices and $e = (v_i, v_j)$ be an edge of it. Then the betweenness centrality of vertices in $K_n - e$ is given by*

$$C_B(v) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{n-2} & \text{if } v \neq v_i, v_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose the edge (v_i, v_j) is removed from K_n. Now v_i and v_j can be joined by means of any of the remaining $n-2$ vertices. Thus there are $n-2$ geodesics joining v_i and v_j each containing exactly one vertex as intermediary. This provides a betweenness centrality $\frac{1}{n-2}$ to each of the $n-2$ vertices. Again v_i and v_j do not lie in between any geodesics and therefore their betweenness centralities zero. □

The relative centrality and graph centrality are as follows

$$C_B{}'(v) = \frac{2C_B(v)}{(n-1)(n-2)} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{(n-1)(n-2)^2} & v \neq v_i, v_j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$C_B(G) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[C_B{}'(v^*) - C_B{}'(v_i)]}{(n-1)} = \frac{4}{(n-1)^2(n-2)^2}$$

3.3 Betweenness centrality of vertices in complete bipartite graphs

Theorem 3.3. *The betweenness centrality of a vertex in a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ is given by*

$$C_B(v) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{m}\dbinom{n}{2} & \textit{if deg(v)=n} \\ \dfrac{1}{n}\dbinom{m}{2} & \textit{if deg(v)=m} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider a complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with a bipartition $\{U, W\}$ where $U = \{u_1, u_2, ..., u_m\}$ and $W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n\}$. The distance between any two vertices in U (or in W) is 2. Consider a vertex $u \in U$. Now any pair of vertices in W contributes a betweenness centrality $\frac{1}{m}$ to u. Since there are $\binom{n}{2}$ pairs of vertices in W. $C_B(u) = \frac{1}{m}\binom{n}{2}$. In a similar way it can be shown that for any vertex w in W, $C_B(w) = \frac{1}{n}\binom{m}{2}$. □

The relative centrality and graph centrality are as follows

$$C_B{}'(v) = \frac{2C_B(v)}{(m+n-1)(m+n-2)} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2}{(m+n-1)(m+n-2)} \times \dfrac{1}{m}\dbinom{n}{2} & \text{if deg(v)=n} \\ \dfrac{2}{(m+n-1)(m+n-2)} \times \dfrac{1}{n}\dbinom{m}{2} & \text{if deg(v)=m} \end{cases}$$

$$C_B(K_{m,n}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}[C_B{}'(v^*) - C_B{}'(v_i)]}{(m+n-1)} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{m^3 - n^3 - (m^2 - n^2)}{n(m+n-1)^2(m+n-2)} & \text{if } m > n \\ \dfrac{n^2(n-1) - m^2(m-1)}{m(m+n-1)^2(m+n-2)} & \text{if } n > m \end{cases}$$

3.4 Betweenness centrality of vertices in cocktail party graphs



Figure 3.1: Cocktail party graph CP(3)

The cocktail party graph $CP(n)$ [6] is a unique regular graph of degree $2n-2$ on $2n$ vertices. It is obtained from K_{2n} by deleting a perfect matching. The cocktail party graph of order n, is a complete n-partite graph with 2 vertices in each partition set. It is the graph complement of the ladder rung graph $L_n\prime$ which is the graph union of n copies of the path graph P_2 and the dual graph of the hypercube Q_n [2].

Theorem 3.4. *The betweenness centrality of each vertex of a cocktail party graph of order* $2n$ *is* $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Let the cocktail party graph $CP(n)$ be obtained from the complete graph K_{2n} with vertices $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{2n}\}$ by deleting a perfect matching $\{(v_1, v_{n+1}), (v_2, v_{n+2}), ..., (v_n, v_{2n}\}$. Now for each pair (v_i, v_{n+i}) there is a geodesic path of length 2 passing through each of the other $2n-2$ vertices. Thus for any particular vertex, there are $n-1$ pairs of vertices of the above matching not containing that vertex giving a betweenness centrality $\frac{1}{2n-2}$ to that vertex. Therefore the betweenness centrality of any vertex is given by $\frac{n-1}{2n-2} = \frac{1}{2}$. □

The relative centrality and graph centrality are as follows

$$C_B{}'(v) = \frac{2C_B(v)}{(2n-1)(2n-2)} = \frac{1}{(2n-1)(2n-2)}$$

,

$$C_B(G) = 0$$

3.5 Betweenness centrality of vertices in crown graphs

The crown graph [2] is the unique $n-1$ regular graph with $2n$ vertices obtained from a complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ by deleting a perfect matching. A crown graph on $2n$ vertices can be viewed as an undirected graph with two sets of vertices u_i and v_i and with an edge from u_i to v_j whenever $i \neq j$. It is the graph complement of the ladder graph L_{2n}. The crown graph is a distance-transitive graph.

Theorem 3.5. *The betweenness centrality of each vertex of a crown graph of order* $2n$ *is* $\frac{n+1}{2}$.



Figure 3.2: Crown graph with 8-vertices

Proof. Let the crown graph be the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ with vertices $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ minus a perfect matching $\{(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2), ..., (u_n, v_n)\}$. Consider any vertex say u_1. Now for each $(u_i, v_i), i \neq 1$ each pair other than (u_1, v_1) there are $n-2$ paths of length 3 passing through u_1 out of $(n-1)(n-2)$ paths joining u_i and v_i. Since there are $n-1$ such pairs, it gives v_1 a betweenness centrality $(n-1) \times \frac{n-2}{(n-1)(n-2)} = 1$. Again for each pair from $\{v_2, v_3, v_4, ..., v_n\}$ there exists exactly one path passing through v_1 out of $n-2$ paths. It gives the betweenness centrality $\frac{n-2}{n-2} + \frac{n-3}{n-2} + ... + \frac{1}{n-2} = \frac{1}{n-2}[(n-2) + (n-3) + ... + 1] = \frac{n-1}{2}$. Therefore the betweenness centrality of v_1 is given by $1 + \frac{n-1}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2}$. Since the graph is vertex transitive, the betweenness centrality of any vertex is given by $\frac{n+1}{2}$.

□

The relative centrality and graph centrality are as follows

$$C_B{}'(v) = \frac{2C_B(v)}{(2n-1)(2n-2)} = \frac{n+1}{(2n-1)(2n-2)}$$

,

$$C_B(G) = 0$$

3.6 Betweenness centrality of vertices in paths

Theorem 3.6. *The betweenness centrality of any vertex in a path graph is the product of the number of vertices on either side of that vertex in the path.*



Figure 3.3: Path graph P_n

Proof. Consider a path graph P_n of n vertices $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$. Take a vertex v_k in P_n. Then there are $k-1$ vertices on one side and $n-k$ vertices on the other side of v_k. Consequently there are $(k-1) \times (n-k)$ number of geodesic paths containing v_k. Hence $C_B(v_k) = (k-1)(n-k)$. □

Note that by symmetry, vertices at equal distance away from both the ends have the same centrality and it is maximum at the central vertex and minimum at the end vertex.

$$Max\ C_B(v_k) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{n(n-2)}{4} & \text{when n is even} \\ \dfrac{(n-1)^2}{4} & \text{when n is odd} \end{cases}$$

Relative centrality of any vertex v_k is given by

$$C_B{}'(v_k) = \frac{2C_B(v_k)}{(n-1)(n-2)} = \frac{2(k-1)n-k)}{(n-1)(n-2)}$$

Corollary 3.1. *Graph centrality of P_n is given by*

$$C_B(P_n) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{n(n+1)}{6(n-1)(n-2)} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \dfrac{n(n+2)}{6(n-1)^2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Proof. When n is even, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} C_B(P_n) &= \frac{2}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [C_B(v^*) - C_B(v_i)] \\ &= \frac{4}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \Big\{ \Big[\frac{n(n-2)}{4} - 0 \Big] + \Big[\frac{n(n-2)}{4} - 1.(n-2) \Big] + \ldots + \Big[\frac{n(n-2)}{4} - \big(\frac{n-4}{2}\big)\big(n - \frac{n-2}{2}\big) \Big] \Big\} \\ &= \frac{4}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \Big\{ \Big[\frac{n(n-2)}{4} \times \frac{n-2}{2} \Big] - \Big[1(n-2) + 2(n-3) + \cdots + \big(\frac{n-4}{2}\big)\big(n - \frac{n-2}{2}\big) \Big] \Big\} \\ &= \frac{4}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \Big\{ \frac{n(n-2)^2}{8} - n \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-4}{2}} k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-4}{2}} k(k+1) \Big\} \\ &= \frac{4}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \Big\{ \frac{n(n-2)^2}{8} - \frac{n(n-2)(n-4)}{8} + \frac{(n-2)(n-4)}{8} + \frac{(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \Big\} \\ &= \frac{n(n+2)}{6(n-1)^2} \end{aligned}$$

When n is odd, by definition

$$\begin{aligned} C_B(P_n) &= \frac{2}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [C_B(v^*) - C_B(v_i)] \\ &= \frac{4}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \Big\{ \Big[\frac{(n-1)^2}{4} - 0 \Big] + \Big[\frac{(n-1)^2}{4} - 1.(n-2) \Big] + \ldots + \Big[\frac{(n-1)^2}{4} - \big(\frac{n-3}{2}\big)\big(n - \frac{n-1}{2}\big) \Big] \Big\} \\ &= \frac{4}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \Big\{ \Big[\frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \times \frac{n-1}{2} \Big] - \Big[\Big(1(n-2) + 2(n-3) + \cdots + \big(\frac{n-3}{2}\big)\big(n - \frac{n-1}{2}\big) \Big] \Big\} \\ &= \frac{4}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \Big\{ \frac{(n-1)^3}{8} - n \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} k + \sum_{k=1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}} k(k+1) \Big\} \\ &= \frac{4}{(n-1)^2(n-2)} \Big\{ \frac{(n-1)^3}{8} - \frac{n(n-1)(n-3)}{8} + \frac{(n-1)(n+1)(n-3)}{24} \Big\} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)}{6(n-1)(n-2)} \end{aligned}$$

□

3.7 Betweenness centrality of vertices in ladder graphs

The ladder graph L_n [14, 20] is a planar undirected graph with $2n$ vertices and $n + 2(n-1)$ edges. It can be defined as the cartesian product $P_2 \times P_n$.



Figure 3.4: Ladder graph L_5

Theorem 3.7. *The betweenness centrality of a vertex in a Ladder graph L_n is given by*

$$C_B(v_k) = (k-1)(n-k) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-k}\frac{k-j}{k-j+i} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2}\sum_{i=0}^{n-k}\frac{i+1}{k-j+i},\ 1 \le k \le n$$



Figure 3.5: Ladder graph L_n

Proof. By symmetry, let v_k be any vertex such that $1 \le k \le \frac{n+1}{2}$. Consider the paths (in fig 3.5) from upper left vertices $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}\}$ to upper right vertices $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ which gives the betweenness centrality

$$(k-1)(n-k) \tag{1}$$

Now consider the paths from lower left vertices $\{v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{n+k}\}$ to the upper right vertices $\{v_{k+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ of v_k. It gives the betweenness centrality

$$k\left\{\frac{1}{k+1}+\frac{1}{k+2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}\right\}+(k-1)\left\{\frac{1}{k}+\frac{1}{k+1}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n-1}\right\}+\cdots+\left\{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n-(k-1)}\right\}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n-k}\frac{k-j}{k-j+i} \tag{2}$$

Consider the paths from upper left vertices $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}\}$ to the lower right vertices $\{v_{n+k}, \ldots, v_{2n}\}$ of v_k. It gives the betweenness centrality

$$\left\{\frac{1}{k} + \frac{2}{k+1} + \cdots + \frac{n-(k-1)}{n}\right\} + \left\{\frac{1}{k-1} + \frac{2}{k} + \cdots + \frac{n-(k-1)}{n-1}\right\} + \cdots + \left\{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} + \cdots + \frac{n-(k-1)}{n-(k-2)}\right\}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-k} \frac{i+1}{k-j+i} \tag{3}$$

The above three equations when combined get the result. □

3.8 Betweenness centrality of vertices in trees

In a tree, there is exactly one path between any two vertices. Therefore the betweenness centrality of a vertex is the number of paths passing through that vertex. A branch at a vertex v of a tree T is a maximal subtree containing v as an end vertex. The number of branches at v is $deg(v)$.

Theorem 3.8. *The betweenness centrality $C_B(v)$ of a vertex v in a tree T is given by*

$$\mathcal{C}(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k) = \sum_{i<j} n_i n_j$$

where the arguments n_i denotes the number of vertices of the branches at v excluding v, taken in any order.

Proof. Consider a vertex v in a tree T. Let there are k branches with number of vertices $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ excluding v. The betweenness centrality of v in T is the total number of paths passing through v. Since all the branches have only one vertex v in common, excluding v, every path joining a pair of vertices of different branches passes through v. Thus the total number of such pairs gives the betweenness centrality of v. Hence $\mathcal{C} = \sum_{i<j} n_i n_j$ □

Example 1. *Consider the tree given below*



Figure 3.6: A tree with 7 vertices

Here

$C_B(v_1) = C_B(v_4) = C_B(v_6) = C_B(v_7) = \mathcal{C}(6) = 0$
$C_B(v_2) = \mathcal{C}(1, 3, 2) = 11$
$C_B(v_3) = \mathcal{C}(5, 1) = 5$
$C_B(v_5) = \mathcal{C}(1, 1, 4) = 9$

Example 2. *The following table gives the possible values for the betweenness centrality of a vertex in a tree of 9 vertices.*

We consider the different possible combinations of the arguments in $\mathcal{C}$ so that the sum of arguments is 8.

No.of args.	Possible combinations	Values
8	$\mathcal{C}(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)$	28
7	$\mathcal{C}(2,1,1,1,1,1,1)$	27
6	$\mathcal{C}(2,2,1,1,1,1)$	26
	$\mathcal{C}(3,1,1,1,1,1)$	25
5	$\mathcal{C}(2,2,2,1,1)$	25
	$\mathcal{C}(3,2,1,1,1)$	24
	$\mathcal{C}(4,1,1,1,1)$	22
4	$\mathcal{C}(2,2,2,2)$	24
	$\mathcal{C}(3,2,2,1)$	23
	$\mathcal{C}(3,3,1,1)$	22
	$\mathcal{C}(5,1,1,1)$	18
	$\mathcal{C}(4,2,1,1)$	21
3	$\mathcal{C}(3,3,2)$	21
	$\mathcal{C}(4,2,2)$	20
	$\mathcal{C}(4,3,1)$	19
	$\mathcal{C}(5,2,1)$	17
	$\mathcal{C}(6,1,1)$	13
2	$\mathcal{C}(4,4)$	16
	$\mathcal{C}(5,3)$	15
	$\mathcal{C}(6,2)$	12
	$\mathcal{C}(7,1)$	7
1	$\mathcal{C}(8)$	0

Table 2: Possible values for betweenness centrality in a tree of 9 vertices

3.9 Betweenness centrality of vertices in cycles

Theorem 3.9. *The betweenness centrality of a vertex in a cycle C_n is given by*

$$C_B(v) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(n-2)^2}{8} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \dfrac{(n-1)(n-3)}{8} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Case1: When n is even



Figure 3.7: Even Cycle with 2k vertices

Let $n = 2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $C_n = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_{2k})$ be the given cycle. Consider a vertex v_1. Then v_{k+1} is its antipodal vertex and there is no geodesic path from v_{k+1} to any other vertex passing through v_1. Hence we omit the pair (v_1, v_{k+1}). Consider other pairs of antipodal vertices (v_i, v_{k+i}) for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k$. For each pair of these antipodal vertices there exists two paths of the same length k and one of them contains v_1. Thus each pair contributes $\frac{1}{2}$ to the centrality of v_1 and which gives a total of $\frac{1}{2}(k-1)$. Now consider all paths of length less than k containing v_1. There are $k-i$ paths joining v_i to vertices from v_{2k} to v_{k+i+1} passing through v_1 for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k-1$ and each contributes centrality 1 to v_1 giving a total $\sum_{i=2}^{k-1}(k-i) = \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}$. Therefore the betweenness centrality of v_1 is $\frac{1}{2}(k-1) + \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}(k-1)^2 = \frac{1}{8}(n-2)^2$. Since C_n is vertex transitive, the betweenness centrality of any vertex is given by $\frac{1}{8}(n-2)^2$.

Case2: When n is odd



Figure 3.8: Odd Cycle with 2k+1 vertices

Let $n = 2k+1, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $C_n = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_{2k+1})$ be the given cycle. Consider a vertex v_1. Then v_{k+1} and v_{k+2} are its antipodal vertices at a distance k from v_1 and there is no geodesic path from the vertex v_{k+1} and v_{k+2} to any other vertex passing through v_1. Hence we omit v_1 and the pair (v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}). Now consider paths of length $\leq k$ passing through v_1. There are $k+1-i$ paths joining v_i to vertices from v_{2k+1} to $v_{k+1+i}$is a geodesic path through v_1 giving a betweenness centrality 1 to v_1. Consider paths from v_i to the vertices $v_{2k+1}, v_{2k}, \ldots, v_{k+i+1}$ passing through v_1 for each $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k$. Therefore the betweenness centrality of v_1 is $\sum_{i=2}^{k}(k+1-i) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2} = \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{8}$. Since C_n is vertex transitive, the betweenness centrality of any vertex is given by $\frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{8}$.

□

The relative centrality and graph centrality are as follows

$$C_B{}'(v) = \frac{C_B(v)}{Max\, C_B(v)} = \frac{2C_B(v)}{(n-1)(n-2)} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{n-2}{4(n-1)} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \dfrac{n-3}{4(n-2)} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

$C_B(C_n) = 0$

3.10 Betweenness centrality of vertices in circular ladder graphs CL_n



Figure 3.9: Circular Ladder

The circular ladder graph CL_n consists of two concentric n-cycles in which each of the n corresponding vertices is joined by an edge. It is a 3-regular simple graph isomorphic to the cartesian product $K_2 \times C_n$.

Theorem 3.10. *The betweenness centrality of a vertex in a circular ladder CL_n is given by*

$$C_B(v) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(n-1)^2+1}{4} & \textit{when } n \textit{ is even} \\ \dfrac{(n-1)^2}{4} & \textit{when } n \textit{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Case1: When n is even


u_2 u_3 u_4 u_5 u_{k-3} u_{k-2} u_{k-1} u_k
v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_{k-3} v_{k-2} v_{k-1} v_k
u_1 v_1 v_{k+1} u_{k+1}
v_{2k} v_{2k-1} v_{2k-2} v_{2k-3} v_{k+5} v_{k+4} v_{k+3} v_{k+2}
u_{2k} u_{2k-1} u_{2k-2} u_{2k-3} u_{k+5} u_{k+4} u_{k+3} u_{k+2}

Figure 3.10: Circular Ladder CL_{2k}

Let $n = 2k, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. $C_{2k} = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_{2k})$ be the outer cycle and $C'_{2k} = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_{2k})$ be the inner cycle. Consider any vertex say u_1 in C_{2k}. Then its betweenness centrality as a vertex in C_{2k} is $\frac{(k-1)^2}{2}$. Now the geodesics from outer vertices u_i to the inner vertices $v_1, v_{2k}, \ldots, v_{k+i}$

for $i = 2, \ldots, k$ (Fig 3.10) and from u_{2k+2-i} to $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k+2-i}$ for $i = 2, \ldots, k$ by symmetry, contribute to u_1 the betweenness centrality

$$2\sum_{i=2}^{k}\Big(\frac{1}{i}+\frac{2}{i+1}+\cdots+\frac{k+1-i}{k}+\frac{k+2-i}{2k+2}\Big)= 2\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1+2}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1+2+\cdots+k-1}{k}+\frac{2+3+\cdots+k}{2k+2}\Big)$$

$$= 2\sum_{p=2}^{k}\frac{(1+2+\cdots+p-1)}{p}+\frac{k(k+1)-2}{2(k+1)} = 2\sum_{p=2}^{k}\frac{p-1}{2}+\frac{k(k+1)-2}{2(k+1)} = \frac{k^2}{2}-\frac{1}{k+1}$$

Again the pair (u_{k+1}, v_1) contributes to u_1 the betweenness centrality $\frac{2}{2k+2}$. Therefore the betweenness centrality of u_1 is given as

$$C_B(u_1) = \frac{(k-1)^2}{2}+\frac{k^2}{2}-\frac{1}{k+1}+\frac{1}{k+1} = \frac{(k-1)^2}{2}+\frac{k^2}{2} = \frac{(2k-1)^2+1}{4} = \frac{(n-1)^2+1}{4}$$

Case2: When n is odd



Figure 3.11: Circular Ladder CL_{2k+1}.

Let $n = 2k+1, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. $C_{2k+1} = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_{2k+1})$ be the outer cycle and $C'_{2k+1} = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_{2k+1})$ be the inner cycle. Consider any vertex say u_1 in C_{2k+1}. Then its betweenness centrality as a vertex in C_{2k+1} is $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$. Now consider the geodesics from outer vertices u_i to the inner vertices $v_1, v_{2k+1}, \ldots, v_{k+i+1}$ for $i = 2, \ldots, k+1$ (Fig 3.11) and from u_{2k+3-i} to $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k+2-i}$ for $i = 2, 3, \ldots, k+1$ which gives a betweenness centrality

$$2\Big(\frac{1}{i}+\frac{2}{i+1}+\cdots+\frac{k+2-i}{k+1}\Big) = 2\Big(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1+2}{3}+\cdots+\frac{1+2+\cdots+k}{k+1}\Big)$$

$$= 2\sum_{p=2}^{k+1}\frac{(1+2+\cdots+p-1)}{p} = 2\sum_{p=2}^{k+1}\frac{p-1}{2} = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$$

Therefore the betweenness centrality of u_1 is given as

$$C_B(u_1) = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}+\frac{k(k+1)}{2} = k^2 = \frac{(n-1)^2}{4}$$

. □

Relative centrality

$$C_B{}'(v) = \frac{2C_B(v)}{(2n-1)(2n-2)} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{(n-1)^2+1}{2(2n-1)(2n-2)} & \text{when n is even} \\ \dfrac{(n-1)}{4(2n-1)} & \text{when n is odd} \end{cases}$$

Graph centrality

$$C_B(G) = 0$$

3.11 Betweenness centrality of vertices in hypercubes



Figure 3.12: Hypercubes.

The n-cube or n-dimensional hypercube Q_n is defined recursively by $Q_1 = K_2$ and $Q_n = K_2 \times Q_{n-1}$. That is, $Q_n = (K_2)^n$ Harary [13]. Q_n is an n-regular graph containing 2^n vertices and $n2^{n-1}$ edges. Each vertex can be labeled as a string of n bits 0 and 1. Two vertices of Q_n are adjacent if their binary representations differ at exactly one place (Fig:3.12). The 2^n vertices are labeled by the 2^n binary numbers from 0 to $2^n - 1$. By definition, the length of a path between two vertices u and v is the number of edges of the path. To reach v from u it suffices to cross successively the vertices whose labels are those obtained by modifying the bits of u one by one in order to transform u into v. If u and v differ only in i bits, the distance between u and v denoted by $d(u, v)$, the hamming distance is i [9, 24]. For example, if $u = (101010)$ and $v = (110011)$ then $d(u, v) = 3$.
There exists a path of length at most n between any two vertices of Q_n. In other words an n-cube is a connected graph of diameter n. The number of geodesics between u and v is given by the number of permutations $d(u, v)!$. A hypercube is bipartite and interval-regular. For any two vertices u and v, the interval $I(u, v)$ induces a hypercube of dimension $d(u, v)$[18]. Another important property

of n-cube is that it can be constructed recursively from lower dimensional cubes. Consider two identical $(n-1)$-cubes. Each $(n-1)$-cube has 2^{n-1} vertices and each vertex has a labeling of $(n-1)$- bits. Join all identical pairs of the two cubes. Now increase the number of bits in the labels of all vertices by placing 0 in the i^{th} place of the first cube and 1 in the i^{th} place of second cube. Thus we get an n-cube with 2^n vertices, each vertex having a label of n-bits and the corresponding vertices of the two $(n-1)$-cubes differ only in the i^{th} bit. This n-cube so constructed can be seen as the union of n pairs of $(n-1)$-cubes differing in exactly one position of bits. Thus the number of $(n-1)$-cubes in an n-cube is $2n$. The operation of splitting an n-cube into two disjoint $(n-1)$-cubes so that the vertices of the two $(n-1)$-cubes are in a one-to-one correspondence will be referred to as *tearing* [24]. Since there are n bits, there are n directions for *tearing* . In general there are ${}^nC_k 2^{n-k}$ number of k-subcubes associated with an n-cube.

Theorem 3.11. *The betweenness centrality of a vertex in a hypercube Q_n is given by* $\mathbf{2^{n-2}(n-2)+\frac{1}{2}}$

Proof. The hypercube Q_n of dimension n is a vertex transitive n-regular graph containing 2^n vertices. Each vertex can be written as an n tuple of binary digits 0 and 1 with adjacent vertices differing in exactly one coordinate. The distance between two vertices x and y denoted by $d(x,y)$ is the number of corresponding coordinates they differ and the number of distinct geodesics between x and y is $d(x,y)!$ [9]. Let $\mathbf{0}=(0,0,...,0)$ be the vertex whose betweenness centrality has to be determined. Consider all k-subcubes containing the vertex $\mathbf{0}$ for $2 \le k \le n$. Each k-subcube has vertices with $n-k$ zeros in the n coordinates. Since each k-subcube can be distinguished by k coordinates, we consider these k coordinates only. The number of k-subcubes containing the vertex $\mathbf{0}$ is $\binom{n}{k}$. The vertex $\mathbf{0}$ lies on a geodesic path joining a pair of vertices if and only if the pair of vertices forms a pair of antipodal vertices of some subcube containing $\mathbf{0}$ [18]. So we consider all pairs of antipodal vertices excluding the vertex $\mathbf{0}$ and its antipodal vertex in each k-subcube containing $\mathbf{0}$. If a vertex of a k-subcube has r ones, then its antipodal vertex has $k-r$ ones. For any pair of such antipodal vertices there are $k!$ geodesic paths joining them and of that $r!(k-r)!$ paths are passing through $\mathbf{0}$. Thus each pair contributes $\frac{r!(k-r)!}{k!}$ that is, $\frac{1}{\binom{k}{r}}$ to the betweenness centrality of $\mathbf{0}$.
By symmetry when k is even, the number of distinct pairs of required antipodal vertices are given by $\binom{k}{r}$ for $1 \le r < \frac{k}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}\binom{k}{r}$ for $r=\frac{k}{2}$. When k is odd, the number of distinct pairs of required antipodal vertices are given by $\binom{k}{r}$ for $1 \le r \le \frac{k-1}{2}$. Taking all such pairs of antipodal vertices in a k-subcube we get the contribution of betweenness centrality as $\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{k}{2}-1}\binom{k}{r}\frac{1}{\binom{k}{r}}+\frac{1}{2}\binom{k}{\frac{k}{2}}\frac{1}{\binom{k}{\frac{k}{2}}}=\frac{k-1}{2}$, when k is even and $\sum_{r=1}^{\frac{k-1}{2}}\binom{k}{r}\frac{1}{\binom{k}{r}}=\frac{k-1}{2}$ when k is odd. Therefore considering all k-subcubes for $2 \le k \le n$, we get the betweenness centrality of $\mathbf{0}$ as

$$C_B(\mathbf{0})=\sum_{k=2}^{n}\Big(\frac{k-1}{2}\Big)\binom{n}{k}=\frac{1}{2}\Big[\sum_{k=2}^{n}k\binom{n}{k}-\sum_{k=2}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\Big]=2^{n-2}(n-2)+\frac{1}{2}$$

Therefore for any vertex v,

$$C_B(\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{2^{n-2}(n-2)+\frac{1}{2}}$$

□

The relative centrality and graph centrality are as follows

$$C_B{}'(v) = \frac{2C_B(v)}{(2^n-1)(2^n-2)} = \frac{2^{n-1}(n-2)+1}{(2^n-1)(2^n-2)}$$
$$C_B(G) = 0$$

4 Conclusion

Betweenness centrality is known to be a useful metric for graph analysis. When compared to other centrality measures, computation of betweenness centrality is rather difficult as it involves calculation of the shortest paths between all pairs of vertices in a graph. This study of betweenness centrality can be extended to larger classes of graphs and for edges also.

References

[1] A Bavelas. A mathematical model for group structure,human organization 7, 1630. *Applied Anthropology*, 7(3):16–30, 1948.

[2] Norman Biggs. *Algebraic graph theory*. Cambridge University Press, 1993.

[3] Phillip Bonacich. Power and centrality: A family of measures. *American journal of sociology*, pages 1170–1182, 1987.

[4] Stephen P Borgatti. Centrality and network flow. *Social networks*, 27(1):55–71, 2005.

[5] Stephen P Borgatti and Martin G Everett. A graph-theoretic perspective on centrality. *Social networks*, 28(4):466–484, 2006.

[6] Dragoš Cvetkovic, Michael Doob, and Slobodan Simic. Generalized line graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 5(4):385–399, 1981.

[7] Ernesto Estrada. Virtual identification of essential proteins within the protein interaction network of yeast. *Proteomics*, 6(1):35–40, 2006.

[8] Ernesto Estrada, Desmond J Higham, and Naomichi Hatano. Communicability betweenness in complex networks. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 388(5):764–774, 2009.

[9] S Foldes. A characterization of hypercubes. *Discrete Mathematics*, 17(2):155–159, 1977.

[10] Linton C Freeman. A set of measures of centrality based on betweenness. *Sociometry*, pages 35–41, 1977.

[11] Linton C Freeman. Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification. *Social networks*, 1(3):215–239, 1979.

[12] Silvia Gago, Jana Coroničová Hurajová, and Tomáš Madaras. On betweenness-uniform graphs. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 63(3):629–642, 2013.

[13] Frank Harary. Graph theory. 1969.

[14] Haruo Hosoya and Frank Harary. On the matching properties of three fence graphs. *Journal of mathematical chemistry*, 12(1):211–218, 1993.

[15] Dirk Koschützki and Falk Schreiber. Centrality analysis methods for biological networks and their application to gene regulatory networks. *Gene regulation and systems biology*, 2:193, 2008.

[16] Vito Latora and Massimo Marchiori. A measure of centrality based on network efficiency. *New Journal of Physics*, 9(6):188, 2007.

[17] Ana M Martín González, Bo Dalsgaard, and Jens M Olesen. Centrality measures and the importance of generalist species in pollination networks. *Ecological Complexity*, 7(1):36–43, 2010.

[18] Henry Martyn Mulder. Interval-regular graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 41(3):253–269, 1982.

[19] Mark EJ Newman. Scientific collaboration networks. ii. shortest paths, weighted networks, and centrality. *Physical review E*, 64(1):016132, 2001.

[20] Marc Noy and Ares Ribó. Recursively constructible families of graphs. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 32(1):350–363, 2004.

[21] Evelien Otte and Ronald Rousseau. Social network analysis: a powerful strategy, also for the information sciences. *Journal of information Science*, 28(6):441–453, 2002.

[22] Kyoungjin Park and Alper Yilmaz. A social network analysis approach to analyze road networks. In *ASPRS Annual Conference. San Diego, CA*, 2010.

[23] Mikail Rubinov and Olaf Sporns. Complex network measures of brain connectivity: uses and interpretations. *Neuroimage*, 52(3):1059–1069, 2010.

[24] Youcef Saad and Martin H Schultz. Topological properties of hypercubes. *Computers, IEEE Transactions on*, 37(7):867–872, 1988.